



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,119	02/13/2001	Michael R. May	SIG000063	1760

7590

06/25/2004

Garlick, Harrison & Markison LLP  
P.O. Box 160727  
Austin, TX 78716

EXAMINER
----------

GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2631

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/782,119

Applicant(s)

MAY ET AL.

Examiner

Qutub Ghulamali

Art Unit

2631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 9 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 12-15 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2631

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

#### *Priority*

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification, page 4, line 19, "light" should be corrected to --write--.

Appropriate corrections are required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999

(AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002

do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Art Unit: 2631

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosefield et al ("Rosefield") (US Patent No. 5,896,589).

Consider claims 1, 6, and 9, Rosefield discloses a sample rate converter 36 system and method (figs. 1, 2) wherein each input data stream contains data sampled at a different rate including a sample rate conversion circuit 40 (SRC) and an interrupt generator 54, generate data request interrupt based on system clock and on the variable rate control data 48, 50 conversion value, the SRC uses two bi-directional I/O memories for alternately storing data, provide a read signal to residual (temporary) memory 42 for output to sample rate converter 36, provide write signal it to the I/O memory set as output when the SRC is commanded to perform a conversion 152 (fig. 5), writes output to one of the bi-directional memories, 44 and 46 (col. 2, lines 31-44; col. 3, lines 53-67; col. 4, lines 8-50; col. 5, lines 32-36; col. 6, lines 30-47).

Regarding claim 11, Rosefield discloses a sample rate converter 36 system and method (figs. 1, 2, 4) a DSP processing module with memory including operational instructions 113 that causes the processing module to generate command signals 114, wherein each input data stream contains data sampled at a different rate including a sample rate conversion circuit 40 (SRC) and an interrupt generator 54, generate data request interrupt based on system clock and on the variable rate control data 48, 50 conversion value, the SRC uses two bi-directional I/O memories for alternately storing data, provide a read signal to residual (temporary) memory 42 for output to sample rate converter 36, provide write signal it to the I/O memory set as output when the SRC

Art Unit: 2631

is commanded to perform a conversion 152 (fig. 5), writes output to one of the bi-directional memories, 44 and 46 (col. 2, lines 31-44; col. 3, lines 53-67; col. 4, lines 8-50; col. 5, lines 32-36; col. 6, lines 30-47).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10, 12-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ostman et al (US Patent 6,061,704), Southwell (US Patent 6,378,007), Allen (US Patent 6,222,468), Sasaki (US Patent 5,623,512) are cited as arts of reference showing sample rate conversion methodologies.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (703) 305-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on 703 306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG.  
June 22, 2004

  
**KHAI TRAN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER** 6/23/04